

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/739,226	12/19/2003	Kris W. Johnson	08350.3501	8495
58982	7590 07/25/2006	EXAMINER		
	LAR/FINNEGAN, HE rk Avenue, NW	PATEL, DHAR	PATEL, DHARTI HARIDAS	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5	-
0.	
H	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/739,226	JOHNSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dharti H. Patel	2836				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 December 2003.      This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.      Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 December 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/19/03.     </li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/739,226

Art Unit: 2836

1.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2, 10-11, 14-15, 21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Parker, Patent No. 6,762,920.

With respect to claim 1, Parker teaches a current monitoring and interrupting circuit [Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Col. 1, lines 15-17] an electrically conductive line [Fig. 1, 1, line coming from VF AC] carrying a current; a sensor [Fig. 1, 13, Col. 3, lines 10-13] outputs a voltage level indicative of a magnitude of the current [Processor 11 of Fig. 1 includes analog circuitry 19 and microprocessor 21 shown in Fig. 2; Sensor 13 in Fig. 1 outputs a voltage level indicative of a magnitude of the current]; a comparator [Fig. 2, 55, Col. 3, lines 52-53] that compares the voltage level to a reference potential [Fig. 2, SDLYTRIPTHRESH] and generates a circuit indicator signal [Fig. 2, output from comparator 55]; and a logic-based current interrupter [Fig. 2, 57, Col. 3, lines 52-56] that controls the current in line [Fig. 1, 1, line coming from VF AC] in response to the circuit indicator signal.

Application/Control Number: 10/739,226

Art Unit: 2836

With respect to claim 2, Parker further includes a current switch [Fig. 1, 5, 7] disposed in the electrically conductive line [Fig. 1, 1, line coming from VF AC] and connected to the logic-based interrupter [Fig. 2, 57, The trip latch 57 is connected to the operating mechanism 7, which is connected to a switch 5 as shown in Fig. 1].

With respect to claim 10, Parker teaches that the logic-based current interrupter includes a Boolean logic device [Fig. 2, 57, trip latch 57 is a Boolean logic device].

With respect to claim 11, Parker teaches that the Boolean logic device includes a flip-flop [Fig. 2, 57, trip latch 57 is a flip-flop].

With respect to claim 14, Parker teaches a method of monitoring and interrupting current [Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Col. 1, lines 15-17] flowing in an electrically conductive line [Fig. 1, 1, line coming from VF AC], comprising sensing the current [Fig. 1, 13, Col. 3, lines 10-13] flowing in the electrically conductive line; generating a voltage level indicative of a magnitude of the current [Processor 11 of Fig. 1 includes analog circuitry 19 and microprocessor 21 shown in Fig. 2; Sensor 13 in Fig. 1 outputs a voltage level indicative of a magnitude of the current]; comparing the voltage level [Fig. 2, 55, Col. 3, lines 52-53] to a reference voltage [Fig. 2, SDLYTRIPTHRESH] and generating a circuit indicator signal [Fig. 2, output from comparator 55]; and using a logic-based device [Fig. 2, 57, Col. 3, lines 52-56] to cause an interrupting of the current flowing in the electrically conductive line [Fig. 1, 1, line coming from VF AC] if the circuit

Art Unit: 2836

indicator signal is indicative of a condition where the voltage level is higher than the reference voltage.

Page 4

With respect to claim 15, Parker teaches that the logic based device [Fig. 2, 55, Col. 3, lines 52-56] includes a flip flop that controls a current switch [Fig. 2, trip latch (flip flop) 57 controls the operating mechanism 7, which controls switch 5 in Fig. 1].

With respect to claim 21, Parker teaches a circuit breaker [Fig. 1, 3, Fig. 2] for interrupting a flow of current [Col. 1, lines 15-17] in an electrically conductive line [Fig. 1, 1, line coming from VF AC], comprising a sensor [Fig. 1, 13, Col. 3, lines 10-13] that outputs a voltage level indicative of a magnitude of the current [Processor 11 of Fig. 1 includes analog circuitry 19 and microprocessor 21 shown in Fig. 2; Sensor 13 in Fig. 1 outputs a voltage level indicative of a magnitude of the current]; a comparator [Fig. 2, 55, Col. 3, lines 52-53] that compares the voltage level to a reference potential [Fig. 2, SDLYTRIPTHRESH] and generates a circuit indicator signal [Fig. 2, output from comparator 55]; a logic device [Fig. 2, 57, Col. 3, lines 52-56] that receives the circuit indicator signal and generates a current interrupt signal when the circuit indicator signal corresponds to a condition where the voltage level is greater than the reference potential [Col. 3, lines 52-56]; and a current switch [Fig. 1, 5, 7, Fig. 2, 7] that selectively prevents the flow of current in the electrically conductive line in response to the current interrupt signal [Col. 3, lines 8-10].

With respect to claim 23, Parker teaches that the logic device [Fig. 2, 57] includes a flip-flop.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 3-4, 12-13, 16-19, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parker, in view of Canova et al., Publication No. US 2003/0202304.

Parker teaches a current switch [Fig. 1, 5], but does not disclose that the current switch includes a MOSFET. Conova teaches an electronic circuit breaker connecting a power-supply network and a load.

With respect to claim 3, Canova teaches that the current switch [Fig. 1, 7] includes a MOSFET [Page 2, paragraph 0020, lines 17-19].

Both teachings are analogous circuit breakers connected between power supply and loads. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Canova, which teaches a MOSFET switch, with the circuit of Parker, for the benefit of interrupting electric power supply to a user circuit when the current exceeds a pre-set value.

With respect to claim 4, Canova further includes a fuse [Fig. 1, 5, Page 1, paragraph 0020, lines 4-5, lines 3-7].

With respect to claim 12, Canova further includes a reset circuit [Page 1, paragraph 0012, lines 8-10, the microprocessor 13 in Fig. 1 comprises a reset circuit, which sends a reset signal to the circuit breaker].

With respect to claim 13, Canova further includes an indicator [Fig. 1, 3] that signals whether the current is flowing in the electrically conductive line [Page 1, paragraph 0020, lines 4-8; the block 3 in Fig. 1 comprises a current-read resistor, which sends a signal to voltage comparator].

With respect to claim 16, Canova teaches that the current switch includes a MOSFET [[Page 2, paragraph 0020, lines 17-19].

With respect to claim 17, Canova further includes resetting the circuit breaker [Fig. 1, 7] to restore the current flowing in the electrically conductive line [Canova does not disclose a logic-based device as an interrupter (or circuit breaker), but Parker teaches a trip latch 57 as a logic-based device]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Parker into Canova, to reset the trip latch 57 once the cause of the tripping has been determined.

With respect to claim 18, Canova teaches that the step of resetting is performed automatically [Page 1, paragraph 0012, lines 8-10; the microprocessor 13 is programmed to reset the circuit breaker automatically].

With respect to claim 19, Canova further includes generating an indicator signal [Fig. 1, 3] that conveys whether the current is flowing in the electrically conductive line [Page 1, paragraph 0020, lines 4-8; the block 3 in Fig. 1 comprises a current-read resistor, which sends a signal to voltage comparator].

Page 7

With respect to claim 24, Canova teaches that the current switch includes a MOSFET [Page 2, paragraph 0020, lines 17-19].

3. Claims 5-7, 9, 20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parker, in view of Huang et al., Patent No. 6,952,335.

Parker teaches an electrically conductive line, but does not disclose that the electrically conductive line is part of an electrical bus energized to at least 60 VDC. Huang teaches a solid-state DC circuit breaker.

With respects to claims 5-7, Huang teaches that the electrically conductive line is part of an electrical bus energized to at least 60, 200 and 300 VDC. [Abstract, liens 1-2, Col. 3, lines 56-57, The DC circuit breaker is capable of interrupting high DC currents, which means the circuit breaker is connected across a high voltage source, which can include 60 VDC, 200 VDC, and 300 VDC].

Both teachings are analogous circuit breakers connected between power supply and loads. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Huang, which teaches high voltage sources, with the circuit of Parker, for the benefit of

Application/Control Number: 10/739,226

Art Unit: 2836

providing a circuit breaker that is capable of interrupting high DC currents which come from high DC voltages.

With respect to claim 9, Huang teaches that the sensor includes a Hall effect device [Col. 4, lines 62-67].

With respect to claim 20, Huang teaches that the current flowing in the electrically conductive line is a direct current (DC) [Abstract, lines 1-2].

With respect to claim 22, Huang teaches that the sensor includes a Hall effect current transducer [Col. 4, lines 62-67].

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parker, in view of Yoshida et al., Patent No. 6,791,207.

Parker teaches an electrically conductive line connected to a circuit breaker, and then to the load, but does not disclose that the electrically conductive line is part of a vehicular electrical bus. Yoshida teaches an apparatus for supplying power from a power supply to plural electric loads mounted on an automobile.

With respect to claim 8, Yoshida teaches that the electrically conductive line is a part of a vehicular electrical bus [Col. 2, lines 18-23].

Both teachings are analogous circuit breakers provided between power supply and loads [Col. 2, lines 18-23]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Yoshida, with the circuit of Parker, for the benefit of having double protection or even triple protection against short circuit in an automobile.

Art Unit: 2836

### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dharti H. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-8659. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on 571-272-2800, Ext. 36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DHP 07/22/2006

BRIAN SIRCUS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800